

# Tippecanoe County GIS Policy Committee Meeting March 19, 2009

The GIS Policy Committee members met Thursday March 19, at 10:00 a.m. in the Tippecanoe Room of the Tippecanoe County Office Building. Steve Murray called the meeting to order.

#### **County Employees present**

County Surveyor Steve Murray, County Commissioner John Knochel, Auditor Jennifer Weston, GIS Administrator Mark Ehle, APC Director Sallie Fahey, MITS Director David Sturgeon, Project Manager Surveyor Department Zachariah Beasley, County Assessor Samantha Steele, Shelli Muller, Jerry Ingram, Mellissa Baldwin, Daniel Morrison, Keith McClendon recording.

### <u>Agenda</u>

- 1. State Key Number correction project
- 2. GIS Data sales ordinance
- 3. GIS website redesign
- 4. Technical Committee report
- 5. Other

# 1. State Key Number correction project

Mark Ehle noted that the entire state key numbers are complete. They are waiting to be loaded into the system before Manatron does an extract. Mark Ehle has checked the data many different ways. There are a few key numbers that appears to be state owned property. They should just drop out of the system. Steve Murray noted that the key numbers might be related to drainage assessments. Steve Murray requested a list of the key numbers so they could be checked. Mark said he would make a shp file and pass it on to Steve Cherek at the surveyor department.

#### 2. GIS Data sales ordinance

Mark Ehle and David Sturgeon looked at the existing data request. It was noted that some revision's needed to be made on the data request sheet. Data cost for the 2008 images files are inconclusive at this time. Steve Murray noted that the current ordinance needs to be reviewed due to newer technology.

#### 3. GIS website redesign

Mark Ehle sent out an email showing some sample types of GIS web sites to view. In response it appeared that the more expensive software was the choice. The grant would not cover the full cost of the software. The GIS data sales fund at this time has approx 11 thousand dollars. This money could go toward the purchase of the software. Mark will contact one of the venders to set up a demonstration.

# 4. Technical Committee report

# ESRI license 3 year plan

Current ESRI license usage from every County Department is 2 info, 8 editors, and 6 View license. It is broke down as follows:

#### **APC Office**

- 1 Arcinfo
- 3 ArcEditor
- 2 Arcviews

#### Mits Office

- 1 Arcinfo
- 1 ArcEditor
- 1 ArcView

#### **Auditor Office**

1 ArcEditor

# **Highway Office**

2 ArcEditor

# **Surveyors Office**

- 1 ArcEditor
- 2 ArcView

#### **Assessor Office**

1 ArcView

As for 3 year future usage for every department it is 2 info, 9 editors, and 7 View. It is broke down as follows:

#### **APC Office**

- 1 Arcinfo
- 3 ArcEditor
- 2 Arcviews

#### **Mits Office**

- 1 Arcinfo
- 1 ArcEditor
- 1 ArcView

#### **Auditor Office**

• 1 ArcEditor

#### **Highway Office**

• 2 ArcEditor

#### **Surveyors Office**

- 2 ArcEditor
- 2 ArcView

#### **Assessor Office**

2 ArcView

# Moving Data off G\Everyone\GIS Share to M Drive

Mark Ehle informed us that there is space on the M drive to move everything to the M:\prj\gis\ESRI\_SHARE folder. He said that he created space by placing all the 2002 tiff images on tape and taking them off the M drive. The GIS user group decided to make a file structure where everyone has their own folder under the USER folder within ESRI\_Share. This folder is for them to use and place what every they feel fit. The other folder within the ESRI Share is for only that specific type of data and nothing else.

# **ESRI Mapping Template**

We now have one map template ready to use by the County located within the New M-ESRI Share folder under templates.

#### **GIS Website**

Mark Ehle talked about getting a new GIS website. He sent out 3 sample websites for all of us to view before the meeting. The feed back from the user group was that the first 2 sites were better then the 3 one. Mark informed us that the first two sites are the ones that cost the most. Mark said that this subject will be brought up in the GIS Policy meeting next week.

# **City boundaries Layer**

Steven Cherek asked to see where the most updated city boundary layer is and who is currently working on it. Linda Eastman said that she is updating it and is still waiting for final approval from the City of Lafayette on one new annexation before it can become available for all of us to use. Steve then informed her that the township of Otterbein has expanded its east boundary line within Tippecanoe County since the last gis layer was created. The APC office did not know that information and will look into this matter to update their map before the 2010 census.

#### 5. Other

Sallie Fahey inquired about the GIS data sales. She would like to know where the money needs to be allocated when GIS data sales accrues. Mark talked about pictometry imagery that would be useful in the assessors office. The assessor office got an ok from the state to use this type of technology in place of driving to each location. Sallie Fahey thought it would keep the landuse survey up to date and help with the census.

Meeting adjourned.